FORM NO. 4

(See Rule 11 (1)) IN THE ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH

ORDER SHEET

01.

O.A. No. 255 of 2012 With M.A. No. 386/2012

Col. S.G. Ramachandran (Retd.)

.....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Ors.

.....Respondents

For petitioner:

Mr. K. Ramesh, Advocate.

For respondents: Maj. Alifa Akbar.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. MATHUR, CHAIRPERSON. HON'BLE LT. GEN. M.L. NAIDU, MEMBER.

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
24.07.2012	Order passed vide separate order sheet,
	is placed on record. Petition is dismissed
	with no order as to costs.

A.K. MATHUR (Chairperson)

M.L. NAIDU (Member)

New Delhi July 24, 2012

dn

IN THE ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH AT NEW DELHI

01.

O.A. No. 255 of 2012

With M.A. No. 386/2012

Col. S.G. Ramachandran (Retd.)

.....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Ors.

.....Respondents

For petitioner: Mr. K. Ramesh, Advocate.

For respondents: Maj. Alifa Akbar.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. MATHUR, CHAIRPERSON.

HON'BLE LT. GEN. M.L. NAIDU, MEMBER.

ORDER 24.07.2012

This is hopelessly a misconceived and belated petition. The Petitioner was discharged as an acting Colonel in 1987 and now he is making a grievance that he should be treated a substantive Colonel. This is an extremely belated petition. Such misconceived petition cannot be entertained and especially at this distant point of

time.

Consequently, there is no merit in this petition and same is dismissed with no

order as to costs.

A.K. MATHUR (Chairperson)

M.L. NAIDU (Member)

New Delhi July 24, 2012